



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,223	10/30/2003	Yutaka Ito	P/126-224	3422

2352 7590 01/10/2006

OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 100368403

EXAMINER

GOLDEN, JAMES R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2187

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,223	ITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Golden	2187	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/06/2004</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application 10/699223 has a total of 12 claims pending. There are 2 independent claims and 9 dependent claims. Claims 1-12 have been rejected in view of prior art.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement submitted on 07/06/2004 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character 10 has been used to designate the SDRAM in Figs. 1, 17 and 18. This objection could be overcome by correcting reference character 10 in Figs. 17 and 18 to read --10'-- and --10"--, respectively.
4. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 7 is mistakenly used in reference to Fig. 17 in the specification [0213, line 1]. This objection could be overcome by correcting the specification to read --Fig. 17--.

Art Unit: 2187

5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title "DRAM with Super Self-Refresh and Error Correction for Extended Period Between Refresh Operations" is suggested.

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes reference characters to figures, which should be removed. Additionally, "Upon completion an..." should most likely be corrected to --Upon completion of an...--. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "xternal" [0010, line 4] should be corrected to --external--; "th" [0019, line 1] should be corrected to --the--. Numerous other mistakes of this nature were also found, and the examiner respectfully requests that the applicant correct all other instances of this mistake. Additionally, "stobe" [0075, lines 12-13], should be corrected to --strobe--; "(Correct" [0218, line 1] should most likely be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

9. **Claims 1-6 and 9-12** are objected to because of the following informalities: "nd signal as th" (claim 1, paragraph 7, line 3) should be corrected; "rror in th" (claim 3, paragraph 5, line 6) should be corrected; "ECC-CODEC" (claims 4 and 5, paragraph 1, line 3) should be corrected to --ECC-codec--, or, alternatively, all other instances of "codec" could be corrected to --CODEC--; "copmris s" (claim 9, paragraph 2, line 1) should be corrected; claims 2-3, 6 and 10-12 are objected to because of their dependence on claim 1. These objections can be overcome by making the appropriate corrections.

10. The examiner respectfully requests that the applicant use the present tense form of verbs as opposed to the gerund form in the bodies of claims; i.e., "starting" and

“holding” (claim 4, paragraph 2) should be corrected to --starts-- and --holds--.

Appropriate correction is required in all claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

12. **Claims 1 and 3-4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536).

13. **With respect to claim 1**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device having a dynamic RAM, said dynamic RAM comprising a memory array (11₁, 11₂, 11₃ and 11₄ of Fig. 1) [0005; 0083, “In Fig. 1, same reference numbers are assigned to corresponding parts having some functions as in Fig. 13”], a RAM control section (21 of Fig. 1) [0084], an ECC-codec circuit (24 of Fig. 1) [0085, lines 9-10, 17], and an ECC controller (23 of Fig. 1) [0083], said RAM control section comprising a command decoding section responsive to an external command from the outside of said dynamic RAM for decoding the external command [0037; 0084, lines 13-21; super self-refresh is synonymous with “ultra low-power consumption mode”] and a super self-refresh control circuit (22 of Fig. 1) [0083, line 9], wherein:

Art Unit: 2187

- said command decoding section is also adapted to receive an internal command generated inside said dynamic RAM and to decode the internal command [0091, lines 1-3, 12-15];
- said ECC controller (23 of Fig. 1) [0083] comprising a command generating section (23 of Fig. 1) and an address generating section (9 of Fig. 1) [0091, lines 1-3; controller generates “an internal command” and “an address”];
- said command decoding section delivering a start instruction signal representative of encoding to said ECC controller when an entry command is decoded as the external command [0084, lines 4-21];
- said command generating section of said ECC controller delivering, upon reception of the start instruction signal, a first operation mode signal representative of the encoding and simultaneously making said address generating section of said ECC controller sequentially generate addresses corresponding to operation timings of the first operation mode signal [0084, lines 3-21; 0101, lines 1-13; encode start signal ENST is generated to put the device in self-refreshing ultra low-power consumption mode] and supply the addresses to said memory array [0010, lines 7-15; 0104, lines 1-6; refresh counter generates addresses].
- said ECC-codec circuit carrying out, upon reception of the first operation mode signal, an encoding operation of producing a check bit for error detection/correction with reference to information data stored in said memory

array and writing the check bit into a predetermined region of said memory array [0092, lines 1-7];

- said command generating section of said ECC controller delivering, upon completion of the encoding operation by said ECC-codec circuit, a first end signal as the internal command to said command decoding section [0091, lines 15-20];
- said super self-refresh control circuit of said RAM control section starting, when said command decoding section receives and decodes the first end signal as the internal command, a super self-refresh operation which has a refresh cycle lengthened within an allowable range of error occurrence by an error correcting operation using the check bit [0080; 0088, lines 1-6; 0101, line 19 -- 0102].

14. **With respect to claim 3**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 1 (see above paragraph 13), wherein:

- said command decoding section delivering, when an exit command as the external command is decoded, a stop instruction signal representative of decoding to said ECC controller [0091, lines 20-31];
- said super self-refresh control circuit of said RAM control section finishes the super self-refresh operation when said command decoding section decodes the exit command [0108, line 1 -- 0109, line 7];
- said command generating section of said ECC controller delivering, upon reception of the stop instruction signal, a second operation mode signal representative of the decoding and simultaneously making said address generating section of said ECC controller sequentially generate addresses

Art Unit: 2187

corresponding to operation timings of the second operation mode signal [0084, lines 3-21; 0101, lines 1-13; encode start signal ENST is generated to put the device in self-refreshing ultra low-power consumption mode] and supply the addresses to said memory array [0010, lines 7-15; 0104, lines 1-6; refresh counter generates addresses];

- said ECC-codec circuit carrying out, upon reception of the second operation mode signal, a decoding operation of reading the check bit for error detection/correction from the predetermined region of said memory array and correcting, with reference to the check bit and the information data stored in said memory array, an error in the information data to rewrite the information data [0092, lines 7-12; 0109, lines 10-22];
- said command generating section of said ECC controller delivering, upon completion of the encoding operation by said ECC-codec circuit, a second end signal as the internal command to said command decoding section [0109, lines 22-32].

15. **With respect to claim 4**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14), wherein:

- said command generator of said ECC controller delivers the second end signal to said command decoder of said RAM control section as the internal command upon completion of the decoding operation by said ECC-codec circuit [0109, lines 22-32];

- said RAM control section automatically starts a self-refresh operation and holds data in response to the second end signal [0109, lines 22-32; 0110].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17. **Claims 2 and 5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536) as applied to claims 1 and 3-4 above (see above paragraphs 13 and 14-15), and further in view of Attaway et al. (US 6,829,677).

18. **With respect to claim 2**, Nakai et al. disclose the semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 1 (see above paragraph 13). Nakai et al. do not disclose the limitation wherein the entry command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM.

However, Attaway et al. disclose the limitation wherein the entry command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM (column 3, lines 35-45).

Nakai et al. and Attaway et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the DRAM of Nakai et al. with the self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user of Attaway et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because "there may be

contents in the SDRAM memory which the user may wish to preserve for use after the system has been reset" (column 8, lines 15-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Attaway et al. with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with a self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

19. **With respect to claim 5**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14), wherein said command generator of said ECC controller delivers the second end signal to said command decoder of said RAM control section as the internal command upon completion of the decoding operation by said ECC-codec circuit. Nakai et al. do not disclose the limitation wherein said RAM control section subsequently receiving a refresh operation instruction from the outside and holding data.

However, Attaway et al. disclose the limitation wherein said RAM control section subsequently receives a refresh operation instruction from the outside and holds data (column 3, lines 35-45).

Nakai et al. and Attaway et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the DRAM of Nakai et al. with the self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user of Attaway et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because "there may be contents in the SDRAM memory which the user may wish to preserve for use after the system has been reset" (column 8, lines 15-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Attaway et al. with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with a self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

20. **Claim 6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536) as applied to claims 1 and 3-4 above (see paragraphs 13 and 14-15), and further in view of Sawhney (US 2002/0133663).

21. **With respect to claim 6**, Nakai et al. disclose the semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14). Nakai et al. do not disclose the limitation wherein the entry command and the exit command are supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM.

However, Attaway et al. disclose the limitation wherein the entry command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM (column 3, lines 35-45).

Nakai et al. and Attaway et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the DRAM of Nakai et al. with the self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user of Attaway et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because "there may be contents in the SDRAM memory which the user may wish to preserve for use after the system has been reset" (column 8, lines 15-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Attaway et al. with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with a self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

Additionally, Ito et al disclose the limitation wherein the exit command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM [0033, lines 1-11].

Sawhney and Attaway et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the DRAM of Nakai et al. with the self-refresh state exit command that may be entered by the user of Sawhney. The motivation for doing so would have been because "after a refresh end event occurs, the refresh operation on memory section 201 ends and ISOa is re-energized" [0042, lines 26-28], and only once ISOa is re-energized can memory access occur.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Sawhney with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with a self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

22. **Claims 7 and 9-11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536) as applied to claims 1 and 3-4 above (see above paragraphs 13 and 14-15), and further in view of Dell (US 5,450,422).

23. **With respect to claim 7**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device having a dynamic RAM, said dynamic RAM comprising a memory array (11₁, 11₂, 11₃ and 11₄ of Fig. 1) [0005; 0083, "In Fig. 1, same reference numbers are assigned to corresponding parts having some functions as in Fig. 13"], a RAM control section (21 of Fig. 1) [0084], an error correction circuit (24 of Fig. 1) [0085, lines 9-10, 17], and a BIST (built-in self-test) controller (23 of Fig. 1) [0083], said RAM control

section comprising a command decoding section responsive to an external command from the outside of said dynamic RAM for decoding the external command [0037; 0084, lines 13-21; super self-refresh is synonymous with “ultra low-power consumption mode”], wherein:

- said command decoding section is also adapted to receive an internal command generated inside said dynamic RAM and to decode the internal command [0091, lines 1-3, 12-15];
- said BIST controller (23 of Fig. 1) [0083] comprising a command generating section (23 of Fig. 1) and an address generating section (9 of Fig. 1) [0091, lines 1-3; controller generates “an internal command” and “an address”];
- said command decoding section delivering a start instruction signal representative of checking to said error correction circuit when an entry command is decoded as the external command [0085, lines 1-20; BIST controller enters decode state] [0092, lines 7-12; BIST controller checks for parity errors and corrects them];
- said command generating section of said BIST delivering, upon reception of the start instruction signal, an operation mode signal representative of the checking command [0085, lines 1-20; BIST controller enters decode state] [0092, lines 7-12; BIST controller checks for parity errors and corrects them] and simultaneously making said address generating section of said BIST controller sequentially generate addresses corresponding to operation timings of the first

operation mode signal and supply the addresses to said memory array [0010, lines 7-15; 0104, lines 1-6; refresh counter generates addresses].

- said error correction circuit producing, upon reception of the operation mode signal, write data corresponding to the addresses sequentially generated, writing the write data into a predetermined region or an entire region of said memory array [0092, lines 1-7],
- upon completion of error detection, delivering an end signal as the internal command to said command decoding section [0109, lines 22-27];
- delivery of the operation mode signal being stopped when said command decoding section receives and decodes the end signal as the internal command [0109, lines 27-28].

Nakai et al. do not disclose the limitation wherein

- producing expectation data corresponding to the addresses sequentially generated, comparing the expectation data with the information data read from said memory array, detecting an error in the information data.

However, Dell discloses

- producing expectation data corresponding to the addresses sequentially generated (column 7, lines 5-7), comparing the expectation data with the information data read from said memory array (column 7, lines 8-10), detecting an error in the information data (column 7, lines 13-15).

Nakai et al. and Dell are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM error detection and correction.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the specific error detection and correction scheme of Dell to the DRAM of Nakai et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because the scheme of Dell allows for the "generation of syndrome bits" and a table of "the errors which [the syndrome bits] indicate" (column 7, lines 13-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Dell with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with an error detection and correction scheme to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

24. **With respect to claim 9**, Nakai et al. in view of Dell disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 7 (see above paragraph 23). Nakai et al. in view of Dell do not disclose

- said BIST controller further comprises a register circuit which holds the result of the error detection;
- said BIST controller making said register circuit deliver the result of error detection to the outside when said command decoder receives a readout instruction as the external command.

However, Dell discloses the limitations wherein

- said BIST controller (13 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 33-37) further comprises a register circuit which holds the result of the error detection (52 of Fig. 7; column 7, lines 10-13; the syndrome bits are the result of the error detection, and they are generated and output by the comparator, so therefore the comparator output is functionally equivalent to a register);

- said BIST controller (13 of Fig. 1) making said register circuit (52 of Fig. 1) deliver the result of error detection (column 7, lines 49-51; the syndrome bits are passed on to the corrector outside of the memory) to the outside when said command decoder (20 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 54-57) receives a readout instruction as the external command (column 3, lines 33-37, lines 57-62; the CPU that is external to the memory requests a read from memory).

Nakai et al. and Dell are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the read mechanism of error detection data of Dell to the DRAM of Nakai et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because the correction data can be utilized such that "all single-bit errors can be detected and hence corrected" (column 7, lines 40-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the Dell with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a read mechanism of error detection data in a DRAM to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

25. **With respect to claim 10**, Nakai et al. disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14). Nakai et al. in view of Dell do not disclose

- said ECC-codec circuit further comprises a register circuit which holds an error detection signal of said ECC-codec circuit as a result of error detection;

- said ECC-codec circuit making said register circuit deliver the result of error detection to the outside when said command decoder receives a readout instruction as the external command.

However, Dell discloses the limitations wherein

- said ECC-codec circuit (13 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 33-37) further comprises a register circuit which holds an error detection signal of said ECC-codec circuit as a result of error detection (52 of Fig. 7; column 7, lines 10-13; the syndrome bits are the result of the error detection, and they are generated and output by the comparator, so therefore the comparator output is functionally equivalent to a register);
- said ECC-codec circuit (13 of Fig. 1) making said register circuit (52 of Fig. 1) deliver the result of error detection (column 7, lines 49-51; the syndrome bits are passed on to the corrector outside of the memory) to the outside when said command decoder (20 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 54-57) receives a readout instruction as the external command (column 3, lines 33-37, lines 57-62; the CPU that is external to the memory requests read from memory).

Nakai et al. and Dell are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the read mechanism of error detection data of Dell to the DRAM of Nakai et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because the correction data

can be utilized such that “all single-bit errors can be detected and hence corrected” (column 7, lines 40-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the Dell with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a read mechanism of error detection data in a DRAM to obtain the invention as specified in claim 10.

26. **With respect to claim 11**, Nakai et al. in view of Dell disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14). Nakai et al. in view of Dell do not disclose

- said ECC controller further comprises a register circuit which holds an error location detection instruction of said ECC controller as a result of the error detection;
- said ECC controller making said register circuit deliver the result of error detection to the outside when said command decoder receives a readout instruction as the external command.

However, Dell discloses the limitations wherein

- said ECC controller (13 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 33-37) further comprises a register circuit which holds an error location detection instruction of said ECC controller as a result of the error detection (52 of Fig. 7; column 7, lines 10-13; the syndrome bits are the result of the error detection, and they are generated and output by the comparator, so therefore the comparator output is functionally equivalent to a register);

- said ECC controller (13 of Fig. 1) making said register circuit (52 of Fig. 1) deliver the result of error detection (column 7, lines 49-51; the syndrome bits are passed on to the corrector outside of the memory) to the outside when said command decoder (20 of Fig. 1; column 3, lines 54-57) receives a readout instruction as the external command (column 3, lines 33-37, lines 57-62; the CPU that is external to the memory requests read from memory).

Nakai et al. and Dell are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the read mechanism of error detection data of Dell to the DRAM of Nakai et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because the correction data can be utilized such that "all single-bit errors can be detected and hence corrected" (column 7, lines 40-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the Dell with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a read mechanism of error detection data in a DRAM to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

27. **Claim 8** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536) in view of Dell (US 5,450,422) as applied to claims 7 and 9-11 above (see paragraphs 23 and 24-26), and further in view of Attaway et al. (US 6,829,677).

28. **With respect to claim 8**, Nakai et al. in view of Dell disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 7 (see paragraph 23). Nakai et al. in view

of Dell do not disclose the limitation wherein the BIST entry command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM.

However, Attaway et al. disclose the limitation wherein the entry command is supplied by a user to said dynamic RAM (column 3, lines 35-45).

Nakai et al. and Attaway et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely DRAM refresh schemes. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the DRAM of Nakai et al. with the self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user of Attaway et al. The motivation for doing so would have been because "there may be contents in the SDRAM memory which the user may wish to preserve for use after the system has been reset" (column 8, lines 15-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Attaway et al. with Nakai et al. for the benefit of a DRAM with a self-refresh state entry command that may be entered by the user to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

29. **Claim 12** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Nakai et al. (US 2003/0061536) as applied to claims 1 and 3-4 above (see paragraphs 13 and 14-15), and further in view of Saiki et al. (JP 362078920).

30. **With respect to claim 12**, Nakai et al. in view of Dell disclose a semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed in claim 3 (see above paragraph 14) wherein a sequential decoding is used in decoding an error correction code in said super self-refresh operation [100]. Nakai et al. in view of Dell do not disclose the limitation error

location detection being executed by backward cyclic shift of a cyclic shift register, other operations being executed by forward cyclic shift.

However, Saiki et al disclose the limitation error location detection being executed by backward cyclic shift of a cyclic shift register, other operations being executed by forward cyclic shift ("Constitution" section of English-language translation). At the time of invention it would have been obvious to combine the error-correcting shift register of Saiki et al. with the DRAM of Nakai et al. in view of Dell. The motivation for doing so would have been because the bits "represent an error pattern" which can be used "to correct the error of the received code" ("Constitution" section of English-language translation).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Saiki et al. with Nakai et al. in view of Dell for the benefit of a DRAM with an error-correcting shift register to obtain the invention specified in claim 12.

Conclusion

31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Katayama et al. (US 6,199,139) disclose a DRAM with a power-saving self-refresh mode and error correction.
- White (US 2003/0149929) also discloses a DRAM with a power-saving self-refresh mode and error correction.

Art Unit: 2187

- Klein (US 2004/0243886) also discloses a DRAM with a power-saving self-refresh mode and error correction.


32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Golden whose telephone number is 571-272-5628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James R. Golden
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2187

December 23, 2005



Brian R. Peyle
Primary Examiner
AU 2187
12/27/05